

**Trianovich, Amanda**

---

**From:** E. van Dorsten <evandors@optonline.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 14, 2020 6:58 PM  
**To:** ZBAComments  
**Subject:** Cupela regulation

**RECEIVED**  
**JUL 14 2020**  
**WESTPORT Z.B.A.**  
*Hearing*

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the Town of Westport's email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

The highest portion of the current structure at 233 Hillspoint is not a cupela as it houses elevator, stairs and storage and this is defined as space for human habitation.

Given that this is a new application, why should, or shouldn't it comply with the current definition of "Cupela?"

Please clarify/confirm the definition and size of cupela and why the space used as habitable shouldn't be statutorily removed.

E. van Dorsten

203 221 7131 h  
914 441 8390 c